

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/796,176	03/09/2004	Michael Charles Shelton	71626 US02	3518	
7:	590 03/22/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Michael K. Carrier RAZA, SAIRA			AIRA B		
Eastman Chem P.O. Box 511	ical Company		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Kingsport, TN	37662-5075	·	1711		
			DATE MAILED: 03/22/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	U
	10/796,176	SHELTON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Saira Raza	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r r riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communicat ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ers, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice und	•	·	
Disposition of Claims	•	·	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-65 are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
·· _			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		–	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •	4 / 15
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	TOffice Action of form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forea) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority docum 	ents have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bur			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)	-		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB 	——————————————————————————————————————	oformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<u> </u>	

Application/Control Number: 10/796,176

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-44, drawn to a cellulose mixed ester, classified in class 536, subclass various.
 - II. Claims 45-54, 58, and 60-66, drawn to a coating composition, classified in class 428, subclass 532.
 - III. Claims 55-56, drawn to a pigment dispersion, classified in class 106, subclass 213+.
 - IV. Claim 57, drawn to a radiation curable coating, classified in class 522, subclass various.
 - V. Claim 59, drawn to an ink composition, classified in class 106, subclass 31.13.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II (III, IV, V) are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the product, and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.05(j)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as intermediate product (the cellulose ester product) is deemed to be useful as a molding composition or fixotropic agent and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct because there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants.
- 3. Inventions II to V are each separate and patentably distinct from each other, because the inventions have different effects or modes of operation. In the instant case, the invention of group III provides protection to a substrate, the invention of group III provides pigmentation to a substrate, the invention of group IV is activated in the presence of UV light, and the invention of group V is dispensed in a controlled manner.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/796,176

Art Unit: 1711

4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have

Page 3

acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. Claims 1-65 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species groups

comprising the various materials describing:

A) The various compositions of claims 1-13; claims 14-31; or claims 32-44;

B) The various compositions of claims 45, 48, 49, and 64; claims 46, 50-54, 60-63, and 65; or

claims 47, and 66;

C) The additives in claims 49 & 51;

D) The substrates in claims 60-63;

The election of an ultimate species for each of the aforementioned groups is requested. For

example, applicant can elect claims 1-13 for group A, claims 45, 48, 49, and 64 for group B, tinting

pigments for group C, and plastic for group D.

6. The species are independent or distinct because they represent different embodiments of the

invention. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though

this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an

identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or

that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

7. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims

to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable

generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must

indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

8. A telephone call was made to Polly Owen on March 10, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saira Raza whose telephone number is (571) 272-3553. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9am-5pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,176

Art Unit: 1711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James J. Seidleck Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700 Page 5